Remarks

The above Amendments and these Remarks are in reply to the Office Action mailed June 3, 2003.

Claims 1-24 were pending in the Application prior to the outstanding Office Action. In the Office

 $Action, the Examiner rejected claims \underline{1-24} \, under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type \, double$

patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-35 of U.S. Patent No. 6,100,978. Applicants respectfully

submit herewith a terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) to overcome the rejection based

on a non-statutory double-patenting.

In light of the above, it is respectfully submitted that all of the claims now pending in the subject patent

application should be allowable, and a Notice of Allowance is requested. The Examiner is respectfully

requested to telephone the undersigned if he can assist in any way in expediting issuance of a patent.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any underpayment or credit any overpayment to Deposit

Account No. 06-1325 for any matter in connection with this response, including any fee for extension of time,

which may be required.

Date: _____ 8.21-03

Respectfully submitted,

3y: _____

Charles H. Jew

Reg. No. 34,192

FLIESLER DUBB MEYER & LOVEJOY LLP Four Embarcadero Center, Fourth Floor

San Francisco, California 94111-4156

Telephone: (415) 362-3800

- 3 -